IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR.V.K.BALI
&
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S.SIRI JAGAN

MONDAY, THE 20TH NOVEMBER 2006 / 29TH KARTHIKA 1928

WA.No. 317 of 2004()

AGAINST THE JUDGEMENT IN OP.11723/2003 Dated 30/05/2003

APPELLANT: RESPONDENT NO.2

KERALA STATE POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD,
PATTOM P.O. THIRUVANANTHAPURAM REP. BY
ITS MEMBER SECRETARY.

BY ADV. SRI.BABU JOSEPH KURUVATHAZHA,SC,POLU.C.

RESPONDENTS: PETITIONER 1 TO 6 RESPONDENTS 1 & 3 TO 6.

1. JOLLY P.J. S/O.JOSEPH,
PANNAKKAL HOUSE,PALLIPORT P.O.
ERNAKULAM DISTRICT.

2. M.P.JOSEPH JOB, S/O.PETER MATHIRAPPILLY,
PALLIPORT P.O. ERNAKULAM DISTRICT.

3. JIBI C.I. S/O.ANTONY,
CHARUPARAPPIL, PALLIPPORT P.O.
ERNAKULAM DISTRICT.

4. K.I.JOSEPH, S/O.INNASI KULLANKARA,
PALLIPORT P.O. ERNAKULAM DISTRICT.

5. THRESI ANTHAPPAN, S/O.ANTHAPPAN,
THUNDATHIL, PALLIPORT P.O. ERNAKULAM DISTRICT

6. P.A.GEORGE,
S/O.JOSEPH, PERINGOLLA, PALLIPPORT PO,
ERNAKULAM DISTRIC.T.

7. STATE OF KERALA REP. BY ITS SECRETARY,
DEPARTMENT OF LOCAL ADMINISTRATION,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

8. DISTRICT MEDICAL OFFICER,
ERNAKULAM.

9. PALLIPPURAM GRAMA PANCHAYATH,
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY, PALLUPPURAM GRAMA PANCHAYATH OFFICE, PALLIPPURAM P.O.

10. GREN CHANNEL COMMITTEE,
REPRESENTED BY DISTRICT COLLECTOR,
ERNAKULAM.

11. ABDULKARIM, S/O.UMMER KUTTY,
KALARIKKAL HOUSE, PALLIPORT P.O.
ERNAKULAM.

R7 & R8 BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER MR.T.B.HOOD
SRI.V.GIRI
SRI.P.B.SAHASRANAMAN
SRI.T.S.HARIKUMAR
GOVERMENT
SRI.T.A.SHAJI
SRI.V.GIRI

THIS WRIT APPEAL HAVING COME UP FOR FINAL HEARING ON 20/11/2006, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

V.K. BALI, C.J. & S.SIRI JAGAN, J.

W.A.No.317 of 2004 &
C.M.Appln. No.347 of 2004

Dated, this the 20th day of November, 2006

JUDGMENT

V.K.Bali,C.J.(Oral)

There is delay of 214 days in filing the writ appeal. The appeal has been filed against the judgment dated 30th May, 2003 in O.P.No.11723 of 2003-U. No one has put in appearance in support of the appeal or the application. We have gone through the records of the case as also the judgment passed by the learned Single Judge which has been impugned in the present writ appeal. Prima facie, it appears that there is no merit either in the appeal or in the application in which no sufficient reasons have been given for delay. However, as no one has chosen to appear, both the writ appeal and the application are dismissed for default.

V.K. BALI,
CHIEF JUSTICE.

S.SIRI JAGAN,
JUDGE.

vns